

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                          | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/979,521                               | 11/19/2001  | Alan F. Savicki      | 492.166                 | 6386            |
| , -                                      | 01/10/2004  |                      | EXAMINER                |                 |
| THE GLAD PRODUCTS COMPANY P.O. BOX 24305 |             |                      | BRITTAIN, JAMES R       |                 |
| OAKLAND, CA 94623-1305                   |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
|  |             |                      | 3677                    |                 |
|  |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 01/16/2004 | 1               |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | <b>4</b>   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| <i>\$</i> .  |  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|  |  | 09/979,521   | SAVICKI, ALAN F.   |  |  |  |
|  | Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|  |  | James R. Brittain  | 3677   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failur - Any I   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  | be timely filed  ) days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).                                  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 to  | October 2003.  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) <u></u> □  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This  | s action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |
| 3)   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposit   | ion of Claims  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠  | 4) Claim(s) 1,2,6-12,14-25,29-35,37-44,48-67 and 71-83 is/are pending in the application.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,6-10,14-16,20-25,29-33,37-39,43,44,48-67,71 and 76-83</u> is/are allowed.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| •  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   | (  |  |  |  |  |
| 8)∐  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/   | or election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicat   | ion Papers   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)  | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) _ ac  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) / / s<br>3 / a<br>14) / /  | □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documer  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documer  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes ince a specific reference was included in the for CFR 1.78.  a) □ The translation of the foreign language p Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes eference was included in the first sentence of                  | nts have been received. Into have been received in Application on the documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Into the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 irst sentence of the specification revisional application has been stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ | lication No ceived in this National Stage ceived. 19(e) (to a provisional application) on or in an Application Data Sheet. 120 and/or 121 since a specific |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice  | ce of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)<br>mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | 5) Notice of Infor   | mal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |



Application/Control Number: 09/979,521

Art Unit: 3677

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claims 11, 12, 17-19, 34, 35, 40-42, and 72-75 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Cappel et al. (US 6286999). Rejection(s) based on the newly cited reference(s) follow. The inconvenience to applicant is regretted.

Claims 1, 2, 6-10, 14-16, 20-25, 29-33, 37-39, 43, 44, 48-67, 71, and 76-83 are allowed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11, 12, 17-19, 34, 35, 40-42, 72, 74, and 75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Cappel et al. (US 6286999) in view of Herrington, Jr. et al. (US 5067208).

Cappel et al. (figures 15a-15d) teaches a closure device comprising first and second fastener strips, a slider 32 adapted to be slidably disposed on said fastening strips and facilitating the occlusion of said fastening strips when moved towards a first end thereof and facilitating the deocclusion of said fastening strips when moved towards a second end thereof, said fastening strips and said slider having a longitudinal X axis and a transverse Y axis, said transverse Y axis being perpendicular to said longitudinal X axis, said fastening strips and said slider having a vertical Z axis, said vertical Z axis being perpendicular to said longitudinal X axis, said vertical Z axis being perpendicular to said transverse Y axis, a first detent 154 within the cavity 152 at



Application/Control Number: 09/979,521

Art Unit: 3677

said first end of said fastening strips, said slider comprising a housing having a protrusion 150 for engaging said first detent 154 of said fastening strips when said slider is moved to said first end of said fastening strips (col. 10, line 66 - col. 11, line 6) thereby inherently preventing removal of said slider from said first end of said fastening strips in said longitudinal X axis. The difference is that in this species, Cappel et al. do not explicitly state that the slider has a separator and if the axis of the separator is at an angle to the X-axis. However, Cappel et al. indicates that Herrington, Jr. et al. is a well known construction (col. 1, lines 20-24) and they teach (figure 11) that it is desirable to construct a slider with a housing wherein said housing has a separator 9 to facilitate the occlusion of said fastenings strips, and wherein said separator has a separator axis, said separator axis is at an angle of 0° to the longitudinal X axis. As it would be beneficial to provide the slider of Cappel et al. with slider structure that would facilitate the occlusion of the fastening strips, it would have been obvious to modify the fastener of Cappel et al. to have a separator upon the slider to facilitate the occlusion of the fastening strips and to set the separator axis at an angle of 0° to the longitudinal X axis in view of Herrington, Jr. et al. teaching that it is desirable to construct a slider with a housing wherein said housing has a separator 9 to facilitate the occlusion of said fastenings strips, and wherein said separator has a separator axis, said separator axis is at an angle of 0° to the longitudinal X axis. Setting the angle to 0° is within the scope of claims 11 and 34 because claims 12 and 35, which depend from claims 11 and 34 respectively, limit the axis of the separator to being parallel to the X-axis, in other words to 0°. As to claims 72, 74, and 75, both Cappel et al. (figures 7g, 7h) and Herrington, Jr. et al. (figures 3-6) suggest the use of closure strips that are profiled, have a rolling action, and have one



Application/Control Number: 09/979,521

Art Unit: 3677

member that is U-shaped and is therefore considered to be a U-channel closure type as being desirable to form a closure.

Claim 73 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Cappel et al. in view of Herrington, Jr. et al. (US 5067208) as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Stolmeier (US 5871281).

Further modification of the fastener of Cappel et al. so that the interengaging features are of the arrowhead type would have been obvious in view of Stolmeier et al. (figure 4) teaching that it is well known to be advantageous to utilize the arrowhead type of interengaging features 18H so as to better secure the closure.

#### Conclusion

The patent of Cappel et al. (US 5896627) (figures 3, 4, 7) teaches pertinent slider structure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James R. Brittain whose telephone number is 703-308-2222. The examiner can normally be reached on M, W & F 5:30-1:30, T 5:30-2:00 & TH 5:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann can be reached on 703-306-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9326.

Page 5



Application/Control Number: 09/979,521

Art Unit: 3677

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone <u>number</u> is 703-308-1113.

James R. Brittain Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677

JRB